

IFIB: IFIB-ACT-SACT-22-119 Two Strategic Thinkers Contractor Support for Strategic Alternatives Branch

Reference: Q & A # 3

Date of Issue: 12 October 2022

The following questions were raised with respect to subject IFIB. Responses are to provide clarification.

Questions	Responses
<p>1. We would kindly ask for clarification in enclosure 6 (Statement of Work) Point 8 (Place of performance):</p> <p><i>SALT requires full time, on-site support. Nevertheless, by exception, work may be performed remotely from contractor's facility, except for the works on NATO SECRET network. Contractor Travel may be requested, but will be agreed upon through both parties. The decision of working remotely or in HQ will depend on Branch head determination.</i></p> <p>In fact of our facility is in Germany we may wonder how often the place of performance would be at ACT facility. There won't be any problem of travelling or working at ACT facility from our side but we don't know if there is a realistic competition possible to be that far away from Norfolk. It is clear that you can't give us a full schedule of planned travels or deeper insides of competitors but it would be very helpful and highly appreciated when we can understand if there is a way for application from Germany.</p>	<p>Please refer to Q&A #1.</p>
<p>2. What is the exact definition of "legally authorised to operate in the United States of America"?</p>	<p>NATO VISA or U.S. Citizen</p>
<p>3. The on-site requirement is not clear. Could we work from home if provided with computers that have enhanced security features ?</p>	<p>Please refer to Q&A #1.</p>
<p>4. It says it is two Full time EQUIVALENT, can we hire folks to support who have the clearance levels.</p>	<p>Two full-time individuals are preferred, as it will offer more efficiency and continuity.</p>
<p>5. Is ACT expecting folks to be embedded, either physically or virtually (Similar question to question 2)?</p>	<p>Please refer to Q&A #1.</p>