

Request for Proposal Number: IFIB-ACT-SACT-22-62

Reference: Q&A #1

Date of Issue: 21 June 22

The following questions were raised with respect to subject IFIB/RFP. Responses are to provide clarification.

Question	Response
1. Is it possible to sign the required enclosures (as outlined in Part 1 Bidding Instructions, IFIB-ACT-SACT-22-62) using a simple electronic signature (handwritten scanned)? That is, not by e-signing digital tools?	Yes. This is acceptable.
2. Should company letterhead be used for documents requiring signatures?	Yes. Please use company letterhead.
1. What type of additively manufactured parts are in scope for this exercise and should these be certifiable parts, i.e. parts for in-service aircraft? What type of materials are in focus for the repository? Are there any limitations to materials that should be considered	Determining the scope is a part of the concept development process. At the moment, our intent is both including certifiable and non-certifiable parts. Limitations has not been identified, yet. The scope and the limitations must be discussed and agreed during the concept development meetings.
3. The tender is asking for demanding tasks which will need more time in order to provide the best value for money. In order to be able to prepare our best proposal, contemplating the principle of favor participations, we would kindly appreciate your availability to postpone the deadline of the tender.	The current deadline of 29 June 22 is already an extended deadline and unfortunately due to internal dependencies we are unable to consider a further extension
4. Art. 15, lett. c): the TENDER EVALUATION FORMULA (PRICE) for the determination of the Tender's ranking.	The lowest price receives the maximum points (in this case 30). Prices are then calculated to determine the percentage higher than the lowest and this amount is reduced (@30%) by the equal number of points
5. ENCLOSURE 4 at pag 13: Witness's	The witness can be another individual within your

<p>information and signature are required, in addition to Authorizing Company. May you kindly clarify with some examples what kind of “Witness” it is necessary for this purpose?</p>	<p>company (a legal advisor, contracting officer or other company official).</p>
<p>6. With reference to the document “Description of Acquisition, Part 1 Bidding Instructions, IFIB-ACT-SACT-22-62” ANNEX A, the table of evaluation shows a potential total score equal to <u>60 points</u>, while in the par. 15 BID EVALUATION lett c), the technical evaluation is indicated with a maximum score equal to 70 points.</p>	<p>See Amendment 1</p>
<p>7. Regarding the ACT Notification of Intent to issue an Invitation for International Bidding, dated 6th April 2022. This noted ‘Funding: €160k’ (€160,000) however we are aware that bids should normally be submitted in US dollars.</p>	<p>According to art.12(c) <i>“Price proposals shall be in U.S. Dollar currency. Contractor may request payment post award in alternate currency based on NATO published conversion rate for 2022.”</i></p>
<p>8. Is this indication of funding still applicable?</p> <p>Is this (€160k’) an absolute limit, or can bids exceed this cost?</p> <p>Can options be included, potentially exceeding the basic requirement, in excess of €160k?</p>	<p>For these three questions, Logistics and Sustainment Branch has €250K budget for this procurement. With this regard, it is not an absolute limit, bids can exceed €160K. But the ceiling is €250K.</p> <p>This is the first procurement. There is no similar previous procurement and the ROM knowledge is very limited. Our main requirement is to fulfil the described scope and basic requirement. However, if the bidder would like to offer additional effort which can exceed the basic requirement, this shall be described very clearly in the proposal package content in order to prevent any misunderstanding. Therefore, potentially exceeding the basic requirement options can be included if they are described separately and very clearly from the basic requirement.</p>
<p>9. Our team could include sub-contracted companies who may have an interest in future potential implementation of RAPiD-e.</p> <p>Would involvement in this initial concept project preclude a team member’s later</p>	<p>Involving in the concept development process will not preclude a team member’s later involvement in the potential implementation phases.</p>

involvement in potential implementation phases?	
---	--