

IFIB: 

IFIB-ACT-SACT-21-43 THREE STRATEGIC THINKERS CONTRACTOR SUPPORT TO STRATEGIC ALTERNATIVES BRANCH
--

Reference: 

Q & A # 1
-----------

Date of Issue: 

29 April 2021
---------------

The following questions were raised with respect to subject IFIB. Responses are to provide clarification.

Questions	Responses
1. If in any case my (foreign) company gets selected and there is a timeframe attaining a visa, would there be a grace period when I could report to SACT HQ in Norfolk, VA, USA?	Based from past experience, it takes between two to three weeks to receive a visa. Remote work is acceptable during the wait time, however, if the process may take longer, please inform the COTR immediately.
2. Bidding Instructions, No. 10. Content of Proposal, top of page 6: (a) through (f) references Enclosures 2 through 5, yet pages 9-13 states Enclosures 1-4. Will you please confirm the correct Enclosure numbering?	Please follow the enclosure numbering on the pages 9 – 13. We will make the following amendments on page 6 to match pages 9 – 13. This will be reflected as well on the IFIB found on the ACT Contracting Website.
3. SOW pages 3-4 references the three contractor positions (1st contractor, 2nd contractor, 3rd contractor). Is there a direct correlation to the Strategic Thinker numbers in Enclosure 4? For example, is the “first contractor” directly related to Strategic Thinker No. 1?	Yes, the First Contractor is directly correlated to Strategic Thinker #1. The technical and price proposal must be very specific including that information.
4. Do the bidding companies propose one resource against the individual “first – third contractor”, or up to three across the requirement?	In accordance to our bidding instruction pg. 3 paragraph 1 “Partial bidding is allowed.” For instance, if the company only has one or two candidates for the three-contractor support requirement, that is totally fine, as long as the company is specific on which Strategic Thinker it is bidding for.