

IFIB:

IFIB-ACT-SACT-19-72 Contractor Support for eLearning Training Technologies Course Development and Delivery Support, HQ SACT, Norfolk, Virginia, U.S.A.

Reference:

Q & A # 5

Date of Issue:

03 Jan 2020

The following questions were raised with respect to subject IFIB. Responses are to provide clarification.

Questions	Responses
<p>1. Please clarify the scoring requirements for personnel resumes. The note indicates that a score of 0 (zero) points in any Criteria will result in ADMINISTRATIVE OR TECHNICAL NON-COMPLIANCE.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Does this criteria refer to the Maximum available points for each position/resume, or does it refer to the sub requirements listed within the resume? For example, if the Lead Instructional Systems Designer is scored at 10/14 points, but has received 0 (zero) points in one of the sub criteria, would this resume still be deemed compliant?- Are Y/N answers equivalent to pass/fail for the candidate?	<p>Yes, any area of the grading matrix that receives a score of "0" will be deemed either administratively or technically non-compliant. A score of "0" in either area, the proposal will not be considered for award. This also includes areas that are Y/N answers.</p>
<p>2. Will candidates who are eligible for SECRET clearance but in inactive status be considered compliant if they have met all other requirements?</p>	<p>Candidates should have an active SECRET clearance. If a clearance is not active, you should state that in your compliance statement and what country their clearance is valid. We will look at that during award and potentially seek further clarification on their clearances if necessary.</p>
<p>3. Based on the requirement for SECRET-level security clearances and federal laws and regulations, employees with SECRET-level clearance and respective experience may be unable to meet the requirement of demonstrating example screen shots or story board pages. Will resumes be deemed non-compliant if they are unable to provide example screen shots within the proposal?</p>	<p>We will need to see some of their story boards or screenshots to evaluate their work. Since this is a best value award, it is even more crucial that we see the candidates capabilities to ensure they can meet the guidelines for the requirements holder.</p>
<p>4. Re: Section #21, Page 90, "Subcontractors": Please confirm whether it is acceptable to include a 'Partner/Subcontractor' in the proposal who has not gone through the NATO</p>	<p>Yes, they should meet the guidelines for acting as the subcontractor. The prime contractor will be directly responsible for the performance, clearance requirements, personal behaviour,</p>

<p>bid approval process, given that said Partner/Subcontractor would potentially be responsible for 1 – 2 proposed personnel, and Affinity agrees to requirements under the 'Subcontractors' clause.</p> <p>5. Re: QA1, Question #8 in the QA1 document indicates that the Technical Volume should be kept under 75 pages and no smaller than 12-point font.</p> <p>Please confirm that the 75-page limit does not include the offeror's response to the Annex A-Compliance Matrix since it is being used to cross-reference the location of information already included in the proposal.</p> <p>Will the government please consider allowing offerors to use 10-point font for tables and graphics?</p>	<p>and deliverables that will be required in the Statement of Work.</p> <p>The 75-page limit includes the Annex-A. The purpose of the page limit is to ensure we can properly evaluate the proposals in a timely and accurate basis. The purpose of the font limit is to prevent proposals from being difficult to read or decipher. Therefore, bidders are encouraged to follow the 12-point, 75-page limit.</p>
---	---